

October 22, 1964

PROCESSOR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

[REDACTED]

STAT

There have been some organizational changes at [REDACTED] vice president for marketing, left the company. His duties have been picked up by [REDACTED] as marketing manager. The engineering department is being reorganized into three functional divisions: R&D, product design, and engineering services.

STAT

STAT

[REDACTED] will head the R&D operation and the Processor Development Program will come under him. [REDACTED] reports directly to [REDACTED] will be head of product design and [REDACTED] formerly director of engineering, will run engineering services which includes proposals and publications.

STAT

These organizational changes have no direct effect on the Processor Development Program. There is an indirect effect due to the confusion and uncertainty in the minds of the people involved during the transition phase. There was no mention of a new director of engineering and presumably [REDACTED] report directly to [REDACTED] at present.

STAT

On this visitation, George T [REDACTED] expressed to [REDACTED] concern regarding two aspects of the program:

STAT

1. Designation of specific personnel for the program, and
2. Monthly and special reports.

[REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] was full-time project manager and had been given full responsibility for the program by [REDACTED]. The format and timing of the monthly and special reports were discussed with [REDACTED]. I repeated my previous suggestion that [REDACTED] written communication to George be of four types:

STAT

STAT

Declass Review by NIMA/DOD

Processor Development Program
October 22, 1964
Page -2-

1. The informal monthly progress reports as required.
2. A list of tasks in progress and in planning with a brief paragraph describing the objective. George stated he would like this to be in chart or graph form.
3. A copy of the task plan as it is written for each task. This is the document in which [] defines to his people the scope and direction of the task and is essential information to keep George informed on the program.
4. The final technical report on each task as required.

Items 2 and 3 could, of course, be included in the monthly report, but I think it would be more timely to send them in as soon as they are available.

[] had prepared an unsolicited proposal for an automatic dodging light table, but it did not seem to suit George's requirements. It was pretty much the same as that proposed by [] a year or two ago. [] briefly discussed an additive color concept based on some ideas I had suggested about three years ago. George plans to explore this further with [] if his time permits.

The clean room area had been cleared out and demolition of walls, sealing doors, etc., was in progress. In excavating for the pad to hold the HTA-5 they ran into an old floor drain which the city would not let them cover. They had to move the HTA-5 and pad about 6" to clear the drain.

The steel work from [] was not received on October 20 as expected. The delivery date on the floor beams from [] is a bit uncertain at the moment. There is a lot of activity on the clean room installation and it is moving right along.

SECRET

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT		CONTRACT NO. 	TASK NO. 25X1		
TO: ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL		DATE 14 October 1964 INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state) 2 ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 30 June 1965			
NAME OF CONTRACTOR 					
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE Film Processor Program					
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE ☒ YES ☐ NO		THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.			
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED 20%		HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.) HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)			
OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR					
1. ☐ OUTSTANDING 3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE 5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY 2. ☒ EXCELLENT 4. ☐ AVERAGE 6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE					
IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.					
RECOMMENDED ACTION					
☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED ☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE ☐ TERMINATE ☐ OTHER (Specify)					
IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT ATTACH COMMENTS IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:					
ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR 15 Sept. 64					
SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR			DIVISION		
IN FOR 6-					